

Sh. Kuldeep Singh,

R/o 437/A, Model Town Extension, Ludhiana

..Vs

.....Appellant

1.Public Information Officer, O/o MC, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority O/o MC, Ludhiana

2.Public Information Officer-cum o/o DCFA, Nagar Nigam, Ludhiana

.....Respondent

AC No. 3633 of 2019 (in the Commission office)

Present: (i) Sh. Kuldeep Singh the appellant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Accountant –cum-APIO (9855035033)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.03.2021 vide which Rs. 5000/- as compensation was awarded to the appellant.

2. Today, the appellant states that he has received the compensation amount.

3. The respondent Sh. Sandeep Kumar states that the compensation has been paid to the appellant. He further states that the information pertaining to point nos. 1, 2, 3 and 11 has already been provided to the appellant. Rest the information is lying with Mr. Rajiv Bhardwaj, Suptd.

4. Hence, Mr. Rajiv Bhardwaj Suptd., O/o MC, Ludhiana, Zone-D, Ludhiana is directed to come present on the next date of hearing with complete information, failing which action under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act 2005 will be initiated.



AC No. 3633 of 2019

5. To come up on 24.08.2021 at **11:00AM** <u>through CISCO-Webex (Video-Conferencing application)</u>. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.<u>(CISCO-WEBEX ID:-1582069360)</u>

Sd/-

Dated :10.08.2021

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab

<u>REGISTERED POST</u>

CC: Mr. Rajiv Bhardwaj Suptd., O/o MC, Ludhiana, Zone-D, Ludhiana

Putter Punjab Sti

Sh. Mahinder Singh (9646141428) T-2/171, Jogial Colony, Shahpurkandi Township Tehsil and District : Pathankot

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer o/o Secretary, Vigilance Department, Punjab Sector 9, Punjab Civil Sectt-II, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority o/o Secretary, Vigilance Department, Punjab Sector 9, Punjab Civil Sectt-II, Chandigarh

Registered post: Public Information Officer-cum Under Secretary, o/o Water Resources, Punjab Civil Sett. 2 Sector 9, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

AC: 3200 of 2020 **Through CISCO-Webex**

Vs

Present: (i) Sh. Mahinder Singh the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Smt. Mandeep Kaur, Sr. Assistant (9877463850)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.03.2021 vide which the respondent was directed to file an affidavit.

2. Today the appellant Sh. Mahinder Singh states that wrong information has been given to him so far.

3. The respondent Smt. Mandeep Kaur states that the information demanded by the appellant is under process, hence cannot be provided.

4. During the last hearing the respondent was directed to file an affidavit but he has failed to file the same. Hence, the Respondent PIO is again directed to file an affidavit stating the same, failing which action under RTI Act will be initiated .



AC: 3200 of 2020

5. To come up on 24.08.2021 at 11:00AM through CISCO-Webex (Video-*Conferencing application).* Copy of the orders be sent to the parties. (CISCO-WEBEX ID:-<u>1582069360)</u>

Sd/-

Dated :10.08.2021



Sh.Paramjit Singh, S/o Sh. Manjit Singh # 1426, D Model Town, Ludhiana (9417368203)

.....Appellant

..Vs Public Information Officer, O/o Food Civil Supply and Consumer Affairs, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority O/o Director Food Civil Supply and Consumer Affairs and Annaj Mandi, Sector 39C, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

AC No. 3412 of 2020 Through CISCO-Webex

Present: None for the parties.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous orders dated 17.03.2021 and 09.02.2021 vide which the appellant was not present.

2. Today again the appellant is not present.

3. The perusal of the file shows that the respondent has sent his reply to the Commission office via email mentioning therein that the point-wise reply has been sent to the appellant. The appellant is absent for third consecutive hearing. He has even not informed the Commission about his absence for hearings. Hence it is presumed that he is satisfied with the reply of the respondent.

4. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, hence the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated :10.08.2021



Sh. Paramjit Singh, S/o Sh. Manjit Singh # 1426, D Model Town, Ludhiana (9417368203)

.....Appellant

..Vs Public Information Officer, O/o Food Civil Supply and Consumer Affairs, Ludhiana First Appellate Authority O/o Director, Food Civil Supply and Consumer Affairs. Punjab Annaj Mandi, Sector 39C, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

AC No. 3413 of 2020 Through CISCO Webex)

Present: None for the parties.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous orders dated 17.03.2021 and 09.02.2021 vide which the appellant was not present.

2. Today again the appellant is not present.

3. The perusal of the file shows that the respondent has sent his reply to the Commission office via email mentioning therein that the point-wise reply has been sent to the appellant. The appellant is absent for third consecutive hearing. He has even not informed the Commission about his absence for hearings. Hence it is presumed that he is satisfied with the reply of the respondent.

4. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, hence the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated :10.08.2021

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Sh.Manu Bhargav, S/o Sh. Vijay Kumar R/o Vrindavan House, Mohalla Kal Kambli Ashram



Back Side of Aman Hospital, Hoshiarpur (9041911908)

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Civil Surgeon, SBS Nagar

First Appellate Authority O/o Civil Surgeon, SBS Nagar <u>REGISTERED POST</u> Public Information Officer o/o Deputy Commissioner, SBS Nagar

.....Respondent

AC No. 3402 of 2020 Through CISCO Webex)

..Vs

Present: (i) Sh. Manu Bhargav the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Dr. Jasdev Singh, Additional Civil Surgeon (9530500326)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 17.03.2021 and 09.02.2021 vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was present.

2. The appellant states that no information has been given to him so far.

3. The respondent states that the reply has already been sent to the appellant. He further states that the information is lying with the PIO, o/o DC, SBS Nagar.

4. Since, the information is to be provided by the Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, SBS Nagar. I, therefore, order that Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, SBS Nagar be impleaded as Respondent No.2. I further direct that Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, SBS Nagar should supply the information to the appellant before the next date of hearing

AC No. 3402 of 2020



5. Respondent no. 1 is directed to file an affidavit for the delay in providing the reply to the appellant on or before the next date of hearing.

6. To come up on 24.08.2021 at **11:00AM** <u>through CISCO-Webex (Video-Conferencing application)</u>. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.<u>(CISCO-WEBEX ID:-1582069360)</u>

Sd/-

Dated :10.08.2021



Sh Rakesh Kumar Gupta, (M-98149-24851) R/o 8/237, Jagraon Road, MandiMullanpur, Distt Ludhiana-141101

..Vs

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Registrar of Firms & societies, pb Sector-17, Bays Building, Chd

First Appellate Authority, O/o Director of Industry & commerce, pb Sector-17, Bays Building, Chd

.....Respondent

AC No. 559of 2021 Through CISCO Webex)

Present: (i) Sh . Rakesh Kumar Gupta, the appellant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Jaswant Rai, (9464894934)

ORDER

1. The RTI application is dated 10.07.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 31.09.2020 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.02.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 24.05.2021 in the Commission. On 24.05.2021 the appeal was not heard due to network connectivity issues and the same is adjourned to 11.08.2021 i.e. today.

3. The appellant states that no information has been given to him so far.

4. The respondent states that the information is lying with the Bathinda district.

5. The perusal of the file shows that the appellant has filed RTI with the PIO,o/o Registrar of Firms & societies, pb. Sector-17, Bays Building, Chd on 10.07.2020 and today the respondent states that the information is lying with the Bathinda District.



AC No. 559of 2021

The respondent is directed to file his proper specific reply on oath paper regarding delay in providing the reply, failing which action will be initiated under RTI Act 2005. He is also directed to transfer the RTI of the appellant to the concerned department.

6. To come up on 24.08.2021 at 11:00AM <u>through CISCO-Webex (Video-Conferencing application)</u>. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.<u>(CISCO-WEBEX ID:-1582069360)</u>

Sd/-

Dated :10.08.2021

Sh. Paramjit Singh, S/o Sh. Manjit Singh # 1426, D Model Town, Ludhiana (9417368203)



.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Food Civil Supply and Consumer Affairs, Ludhiana First Appellate Authority O/o Director, Food Civil Supply and Consumer Affairs. Punjab Annaj Mandi, Sector 39C, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

AC No. 3413 of 2020 Through CISCO Webex)

..Vs

Present: (i) Sh (ii) ORDER

2. Since, my term is expiring on 07.09.2021, the next date of hearing in this case cannot be fixed. Therefore, this case file is sent to Registry for further necessary action and re-allocation to some other bench.

Dated :10.08.2021

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab

CC: DR (alongwith original case file)



Sh Rakesh Kumar Gupta, (M-98149-24851) R/o 8/237, Jagraon Road, MandiMullanpur, Distt Ludhiana-141101

..Vs

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Secretary, MC Mohali Sector-68, SAS Nagar

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner, MC, Mohali Sector-68, SAS Nagar

.....Respondent

AC No. 560of 2021 Through CISCO Webex)

Present: (i) Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta the appellant (ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 24.09.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 30.09.2020 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.02.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 24.05.2021 in the Commission. On 24.05.2021 the appeal was not heard due to network connectivity issues and the same is adjourned to 11.08.2021 i.e. today.

3. The appellant Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta states that no information has been given to him so far.

4. The respondent is absent today.

5. After hearing the appellant and gone through the file, it is ascertained that no information has been given to him so far. The appellant has filed RTI with the PIO, o/o Secretary, MC, Mohali on 24.09.2020, but after lapse of more than eleven months no information has been given to the appellant. The respondent is absent today. He has even not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence. This



AC No. 560of 2021

shows that the respondent PIO has no regard for the orders issued by the Commission.

6. In view of the above, *PIO- o/o Secretary*, *MC Mohali is directed* to show cause in writing or through affidavit under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/ denial of the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the complainant under Section 19 (8)(b) of the Act for detriment suffered.

In addition to his submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) proviso, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

7. Since, my term is expiring on 07.09.2021, the next date of hearing in this case cannot be fixed. Therefore, this case file is sent to Registry for further necessary action and re-allocation to some other bench.

Sd/-

Dated :10.08.2021

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab

CC: DR (alongwith original case file)



Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta R/o 8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur, Distt. Ludhiana – 141101 (9814924851)

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Director Local Govt., Punjab Municipal Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Dakshin Marg, Sector 35A, Chandigarh – 160022

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Director Local Govt., Punjab Municipal Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Dakshin Marg, Sector 35A, Chandigarh - 160022

.....Respondent

AC No. 557 of 2021 (through CISCO Webex)

Present: (i) Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta the appellant (ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 11.01.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 20.01.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.02.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 24.05.2021 in the Commission. On 24.05.2021 the appeal was not heard due to network connectivity issues and the same is adjourned to 11.08.2021 i.e. today.

3. The appellant Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta states that no information has been given to him so far.

4. The respondent is absent today.



AC No. 557 of 2021

5. After hearing the appellant and gone through the file, it is ascertained that no information has been given to him so far. The appellant has filed RTI with the PIO, o/o Secretary, MC, Mohali on 24.09.2020, but after lapse of more than eleven months no information has been given to the appellant. The respondent is absent today. He has even not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence. This shows that the respondent PIO has no regard for the orders issued by the Commission.

6. In view of the above, *PIO- o/o Director, Local Govt., Punjab is directed* to show cause in writing or through affidavit under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/ denial of the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the complainant under Section 19 (8)(b) of the Act for detriment suffered.

In addition to his submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) proviso, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

7. Since, my term is expiring on 07.09.2021, the next date of hearing in this case cannot be fixed. Therefore, this case file is sent to Registry for further necessary action and re-allocation to some other bench.

Dated :10.08.2021

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab

CC: DR (alongwith original case file)



Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta R/o 8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur, Distt. Ludhiana – 141101 (9814924851)

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Director Local Govt., Punjab Municipal Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Dakshin Marg, Sector 35A, Chandigarh – 160022

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Director Local Govt., Punjab Municipal Bhawan, Plot No. 3, Dakshin Marg, Sector 35A, Chandigarh - 160022

.....Respondent

AC No. 557 of 2021 (Through CISCO Webex)

Present: (i) Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta the appellant (ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 11.01.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 20.01.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.02.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 24.05.2021 in the Commission. On 24.05.2021 the appeal was not heard due to network connectivity issues and the same is adjourned to 11.08.2021 i.e. today.

3. The appellant Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta states that no information has been given to him so far.

4. The respondent is absent today.

5. After hearing the appellant and gone through the file, it is ascertained that no information has been given to him so far. The appellant has filed RTI with the



PIO, o/o Director Local Govt., Punjab, Chandigarh on 11.01.2021, but after lapse of more than six months no information has been given to the appellant. The respondent is absent today. He has even not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence. This shows that the respondent PIO has no regard for the orders issued by the Commission.

6. In view of the above, *PIO- o/o Director, Local Govt., Punjab is directed* to show cause in writing or through affidavit under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/ denial of the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the complainant under Section 19 (8)(b) of the Act for detriment suffered.

In addition to his submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) proviso, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

7. Since, my term is expiring on 07.09.2021, the next date of hearing in this case cannot be fixed. Therefore, this case file is sent to Registry for further necessary action and re-allocation to some other bench.

Dated :10.08.2021

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab

CC: DR (alongwith original case file)



ShRakesh Kumar Gupta, (M-98149-24851) R/o 8/237, Jagraon Road, MandiMullanpur, Distt Ludhiana-141101

..Vs

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o AEO, GMADA, PUDA bhawan Sector-62, SAS Nagar-160062

First Appellate Authority, O/o EO, GMADA, PUDA bhawan Sector-62, SAS Nagar-160062

.....Respondent

AC No. 558 of 2021 Through CISCO Webex)

Present: (i) Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta the appellant (ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 24.09.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 30.09.2020 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.02.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 24.05.2021 in the Commission. On 24.05.2021 the appeal was not heard due to network connectivity issues and the same is adjourned to 11.08.2021 i.e. today.

3. The appellant Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta states that no information has been given to him so far.

4. The respondent is absent today.

5. After hearing the appellant and gone through the file, it is ascertained that no information has been given to him so far. The appellant has filed RTI with the PIO, o/o AEO, GMADA ON 24.09.2020 , but after lapse of more than eleven months no information has been given to the appellant. The respondent is absent today. He



AC No. 558 of 2021

has even not bothered to inform the Commission about his absence. This shows that the respondent PIO has no regard for the orders issued by the Commission.

6. In view of the above, *PIO- o/o AEO, GMADA is directed* to show cause in writing or through affidavit under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, as to why penalty be not imposed upon him for willful delay/ denial of the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the complainant under Section 19 (8)(b) of the Act for detriment suffered.

In addition to his submission, the PIO is also hereby given an opportunity under Section 20(1) proviso, thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may note that in case he does not file his submission and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex-parte.

7. Since, my term is expiring on 07.09.2021, the next date of hearing in this case cannot be fixed. Therefore, this case file is sent to Registry for further necessary action and re-allocation to some other bench.

Sd/-

Dated :10.08.2021

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab

CC: DR (alongwith original case file)



Sh. Suresh Kumar, S/o Sh. Om Parkash First and Second Floor,W.No. 20, Piara Lal Thekedar Street, P/o Mansa – 151505 (01652-233614)

.....Complainant

..Vs

Public Information Officer,

O/o Commissioner Faridkot Division, Faridkot

.....Respondent

<u>CC No. 848 of 2020</u> Through CISCO-Webex

Present: (i) Sh . Suresh Kumar the complainant

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Karamchand, Sr. Assistant (9877281728) **ORDER**

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.06.2021 vide which the complainant was not present.

2. The respondent states that the information is ready and will be sent to the complainant tomorrow itself. He further states that the information is lying with the office of DC, Mansa , hence the same was collected from the office of DC, Mansa.

3. Today the complainant Sh. Suresh Kumar states that no information has been given to him so far. He has sought another date.

4. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-



CC No. 848 of 2020

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission. In the complaint cases, it is to be seen whether the intention of the respondent-PIO is clear or not.

5. On the request of the complainant , the case is adjourned to 02.09.2021 at 11.00 AM in the Commission office. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated :10.08.2021



Sh. Suresh Kumar, S/o Sh. Om Parkash First and Second Floor,W.No. 20, Piara Lal Thekedar Street, P/o Mansa – 151505 (01652-233614)

.....Complainant

..Vs

Public Information Officer,

O/o Commissioner Faridkot Division, Faridkot

.....Respondent

CC No. 847of 2020 Through CISCO-Webex

Present: (i) Sh . Suresh Kumar the complainant (ii) For the respondent: Sh. Karamchand, Sr. Assistant (9877281728)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.06.2021 vide which the complainant was not present.

2. The respondent states that the information is ready and will be sent to the complainant tomorrow itself. He further states that the information is lying with the office of DC, Mansa , hence the same was collected from the office of DC, Mansa.

3. Today the complainant Sh. Suresh Kumar states that no information has been given to him so far. He has sought another date.

4. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section



CC No. 847of 2020

18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

5. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission. In the complaint cases, it is to be seen whether the intention of the respondent-PIO is clear or not.

6. On the request of the complainant , the case is adjourned to 02.09.2021 at 11.00 AM in the Commission office. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated :10.08.2021



Sh. Suresh Kumar, S/o Sh. Om Parkash, I & II Floor, Ward No. 20, Piara Lal Thekedar Street, Mansa – 151505 (0165-2233614)

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, Mansa First Appellate Authority O/o Dy. Inspector General of Police Bathinda

.....Respondent

AC No. 3445 of 2020 (through CISCO WEBEX)

Present: (i) Sh. Suresh Kumar the appellant (ii) For the respondent: Sh. Raunak Ram, ASI (9780005409) ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.06.2021 vide which the appellant was not present.

2. The appellant states that no information has been given to him so far.

3. The respondent states that information has already been sent to the appellant through registered post.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that the appellant has not received any information so far. He wants to attend the next hearing in the Commission office. Hence, on the request of the appellant the appeal is adjourned to **02.09.2021 at 11.00 AM** in the Commission office. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated :10.08.2021



Sh. Suresh Kumar, S/o Sh. Om Parkash, I & II Floor, Ward No. 20, Piara Lal Thekedar Street, Mansa – 151505 (0165-2233614)

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, Mansa First Appellate Authority O/o Dy. Inspector General of Police Bathinda

.....Respondent

AC No. 3444 of 2020 (through CISCO WEBEX)

Present: (i) Sh. Suresh Kumar the appellant (ii) For the respondent: Sh. Raunak Ram, ASI (9780005409)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.06.2021 vide which the appellant was not present.

2. The appellant states that no information has been given to him so far.

3. The respondent states that information has already been sent to the appellant through registered post.

4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, it is observed that the appellant has not received any information so far. He wants to attend the next hearing in the Commission office. Hence, on the request of the appellant the appeal is adjourned to 02.09.2021 at 11.00 AM in the Commission office. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated :10.08.2021



Sh. Suresh Kumar, S/o Sh. Om Parkash, I & II Floor, Ward No. 20, Piara Lal Thekedar Street, Mansa – 151505 (0165-2233614)

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, Mansa First Appellate Authority O/o Dy. Inspector General of Police Bathinda

.....Respondent

AC No. 3443 of 2020

(through CISCO WEBEX)

Present: (i) Sh . Suresh Kumar the appellant

(ii) (ii) For the respondent: Sh. Raunak Ram, ASI (9780005409)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 22.06.2021 vide which the appellant was not present.

2. The appellant states that no information has been given to him so far.

3. The respondent states that the information cannot be provided to the appellant as the information sought by the appellant is in the Court, hence the appellant can collect the same from the Hon'ble Court.

4. In view of the above the reply filed by the respondent appears to be convincing, hence, no cause of action is left.

5. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, hence the appeal case filed by the appellant is disposed of and closed. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated :10.08.2021





Sh Sarvjeet Singh, (M-9416160422), S/o Sardar Jagir Singh, Jagdish Haryana Colony, Hansi, Distt Hissar, Haryana

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SMO, Patti, Distt Tarn Taran **First Appellate Authority** O/oCivil Surgeon, Tarn Taran

.....Respondent

AC.No. 4152 of 2020 Through CISCO-Webex

Present: (i) Sh . Sarvjeet Singh the appellant

(ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.02.2021 vide which the appellant was directed to visit the office of the respondent, inspect the record and obtain the information.

2. Today the respondent is not present.

3. The appellant states that he is not satisfied with the information provided by the respondent.

4. The respondent is directed to file an affidavit that complete information has been given to the appellant and nothing is left in their official record regarding the RTI of the appellant. He is further directed to send the same within ten days after the receipt of the order.

5. To come up on 24.08.2021 at 11:00AM <u>through CISCO-Webex (Video-</u> <u>Conferencing application).</u> Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.<u>(CISCO-WEBEX ID:-</u> <u>1582069360)</u> sd/-

Dated :10.08.2021